August 22, 1951.

Dr. O. E. Bolduan, PB Division, Chemical Corps, Camp Detrick, Frederick, Maryland.

Dear Dr. Bolduan:

I must apologize for having been so tardy in returning the assembly for the molecular filters. However, I did not return myself to Madison until a month after I saw you, and we have been delayed by various dislocations until now. The assembly has been mailed to you under separate cover.

Our conclusions are somewhat indefinite, and I hope we will have an opportunity to verify them when the filters become more readily available. In general, the filter proved to be roughly equivalent to the 14-1b. test Mandler in retention of bacteria, except, of course, in speed of filtration. We found it impractical to sterilize with Rix with facilities immediately Ey:0

available, and resorted to immersing the assembled filter in boiling water. This worked reasonably well, except that re-use of a filter was impractical.

Ordinary cultures of Salmonella typhimurium and Serratia marcescens were retained. In our cleanest experiment, the molecular filter retained a phage-treated culture of Salmonella, which was permeated our Mandler filter. In another experiment, the molecular filter also passed a few cells (or "L-forms?") of phage-treated Salmonella. Until this question is cleared up, I think that some reservation should be entertained about the absolute bacterial sterility of "molecular filtrates", but under most conditions, complete retention is very likely, and at worst, only a fery fanceackers small proportion of cells is likely to pass, even from cultures expressly treated to encourage their filtrability.

If the filters do appear on the market, I hope it will be possible to arrange to have them sterilized at the plant. I would appreciate any recent information on their commercial zink availability, and barring that, a copy of the working drawings for the assembly.

Yours sincerely,

Joshua Lederberg, Technical Representative DA-18-064-CML-401